

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,524	11/21/2003	John Paul Raasakka	60,130-1905;03MRA0373	3364
26096	7590 01/04/2005		EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			PEDDER, DENNIS H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
		DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/719,524	RAASAKKA, JOHN PAUL			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dennis H. Pedder	3612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory penod for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);	·			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT place the			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	eause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>11,13 and 16,18</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,12,14 and 15</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme					
10. Other:		10.0			

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3612

Continuation of 5.the amendment does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: "passes over" is limited by the term "over" which means merely --above--, shown in Hattass et al.. The resilient member 13 "comprises a rigid bar 14"(col. 4, lines 14-15), hence has the hollow shown. Contact is shown between closure and resilient member 13 in figures 4-6 at the upper end of 13. Claim 15 is open ended as to the structure of the "resilient member", read as member 1/8/9, not member 2. Reason for combination rejection of claims 1-5 and 12 in view of Raasakka are clearly set forth.